

## Ecoglobalism.

Neo-communism is based on the idea that let capitalism win, because then revolution will inevitably come and communism will come. In turn, liberalism and democracy are the hegemony of capitalism, the hidden domination over slaves, or domination, when vassals agree to submission, but do not officially recognize it - in fact, there is the power of money. Liberalism seeks to erase all boundaries, all differences between people, so that society is governed by economic rationalism, but realism (along with liberalism) indicates that simply the power of oligarchs and transnational corporations will dictate the policies of specific individuals and they do not need any other values or groups (national, religious), because it complicates governance. In end communism and liberalism equally belong to religion and nationalities, gender differences and other human weaknesses, simply communism divides people on social strata and on this basis wants social justice, and liberalism on economic and manages chaos with the help money, realistically the difference only in Declaration protected values. The conflict is how to allocate resources, that is, in management. But it's all about and with my words, it is better to read independently. In General, liberalism is based on Western "Euro" - values, so everything that does not fit their values and goals wants to destroy and therefore we or China and the Chinese one way or another should be enslaved and then (in their opinion) there will be world peace.

My opinion is that now there will be climatic chaos (caused by all anthropogenic changes in nature) and all these "liberals" will begin to struggle for survival in very different conditions, but our "Russian world" is more adapted to the conditions of survival, so it is our world order that will become the basis. Therefore, for us as a society, it becomes important to develop such principles that will protect us and the world around us.

The main problem of all politicians is that they do not know the world around, many people do not understand how life in the universe works and in this sense do not take into account all other values not only of humanity. Humanity is just a subject of politics, but we should start with the earth's ecosphere, because it is the basis of our life, on which we have a direct impact. We can't just waste resources so that everyone can drive a car and get whatever they want - there aren't that many resources for that. We can't feed more than 10 billion people. We can not extract more resources than they are and create more air or water-these are our basic resources, if we do not manage the production of goods and products, there will be wars for survival and this is humanity.

Theoretically, there is a solution, it is based on a world planned economy (the economy of open cooperation), but with certain rules, culture, religion - everything that constitutes human relations, otherwise it will become a dictatorship of world capitalism or communism. In this sense religion is of great value, since the basis does not depend on any momentary decisions, so you can call it religious socialism = holism + idealism. In reality, hardly anyone wants to be controlled by a man or a robot, because these are all the same human weaknesses and in a critical situation the choice between their own and someone else's life.

But in order for faith not to be an empty phrase, I believe that it is possible to give a more capacious definition, for example, that all who died can influence the present through people, becoming one on another level - as it is written (Paradise, karma), and the future can also influence the present, each person is thus a link between the past and the future, but beyond time there is also a common in the past and in the future.

Therefore, the basis of public policy should be those goals and ideals to which the ancestors aspired and this is a kind of analysis of historical and cultural values and achievements that could evolve and from the point of view of the new paradigm to consolidate society.

In turn, this sets the goal-the creation of a religion that fully meets the objective needs of society and does not conflict with others, so that it can be carried out a gradual alignment

of the rules of all faiths on its basis. In fact, now the religion of capitalism is money (fetish, that is, not religion, but fetishism), in communism it seems to be a society, but in fact one of the social (dominant) layers (the proletariat), we can say that most people have faith in the material part of the universe, but they reject everything that lies beyond their understanding (that is, depends on the level of education and intelligence, and this can also be controlled by a class). That is, as a result, people themselves are trying to manage social justice today, based on their beliefs, opportunities, desires or feelings, and it is necessary to manage based on the basic values of humanity as a whole, and then globalization will change the vector towards the development of mankind.

So, what kind of religion can there be? First, aimed at social equality and the development of society as a whole, and not one person, and secondly, the discovery of laws (physical, biological) can affect the improvement of the model of social relations, as an expression of the connection of the surrounding world with the social and inner world of man, then we come to the fact that religion itself is a real reflection of both the internal and external world. We roughly get scheme Yin-Yang-perfecting its domestic the world through consciousness, we are switching to actions in surrounding world and change his for the better, and already external the world from our common actions creates more perfect environment, which allows us improve themselves. In General, people already copy the laws of the world in their behavior, but the fact is that it is important to understand deeper laws that may not yet be discovered and perhaps the social structure itself may be a real expression of laws that have not yet been discovered. Now basically religions do not take into account the outside world, because before man was not able to destroy all life and destroy the whole planet, but we have already caused climate change, pole shift, complete transformation of forests, mountains, rivers, ecosphere, if we do not change our attitude to nature, we will destroy ourselves, neither capitalism nor communism does not solve this problem. Two

The world planned economy implies a reasonable approach to production, the involvement of different countries for the production and development of different goods to ensure the necessary minimum GDP, the openness of energy saving technologies and environmentally friendly production, in principle, it is a kind of globalism, but based on the need to preserve natural resources and restore the ecosphere.

You can compare capitalism with Hinduism-the division into castes and the expression of karma through monetary equivalent, but capitalism denies everything else, because it contradicts the goals of the capitalists, because many simply stole "their" capital and their mental development does not allow to realize the consequences of this and they are protected from all who can challenge it. Many of them are fetishists, although in fact it is part of a spiritual connection that they deny in any religion. And, for example, Greenpeace is not an eco-globalist in the sense of religious socialism, because it is a liberal NGO and in fact a transnational company, expressing the interests of some capitalists against others, they have no moral right to transform a religion or a nation. Global religion today cannot serve the interests of individuals or social classes, but must unite all people. And it is not necessary to attribute to ecoglobalism local installations of green energy or the agreement on greenhouse gases because they are based not on the plan of structural changes, and the contract based on the liberal model having defects in goal - setting (the model of infinite consumption-a liberal utopia).

The ecoglobalism can be attributed to international projects in which the aim is international cooperation to achieve universal goals in the field of conservation of natural resources, improvement of processing, restoration of climatic conditions, energy efficiency and other not only environmental projects, but helping to preserve or improve the environment. Based on these goals, we can disclose technologies and this will be part of open cooperation, but at the same time impose international control over their distribution for commercial or military purposes (as an example of policy). That is, in the end we will be able to set goals for society and lead the development in this direction, but as I wrote above, as in

religion, both individuals, society, and the world around us will be taken into account.

It is also possible to assume variants of development. A-full capitalism will come, and a handful of people will be able to pay for the purchase of air for themselves and their families, the rest will either live underground or die underground. B-communism will have time to Mature and people will live underground, and the rest will breathe the air and distribute its remnants after the capitalists, will gradually become ecoglobalists. In - all people will become ekoglobalistami and United, will have time to save the Earth from ecological disaster (utopia by today's standards). Not everyone will become eco-globalists and some kind of struggle will begin, during which the world will not have time to react to an environmental catastrophe. D-eco-globalists will enter the governments of different countries and convince society and capitalists of the need for change. this is a working version of globalization with a bias in the environment, it slowly enters, but it has opponents, which significantly pushes back the time and is fraught with incorrigible consequences.